

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/633,266	07/31/2003	Edwin R. Leonard	23564-07867	3359
758	7590 04/08/2005		EXAMINER	
FENWICK & WEST LLP SILICON VALLEY CENTER			RAMAKRISHN	AIAH, MELUR
801 CALIFORNIA STREET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MOUNTAIN VIEW, CA 94041			2643	-

DATE MAILED: 04/08/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/633,266	LEONARD ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Melur Ramakrishnaiah	2643			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day if will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed 's will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31.	July 2003.				
•					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-42 is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdres 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-42 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	∍ 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	,			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicationity documents have been received in the control of the control o	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>2-21-2005</u>. 		ratent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2643

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-7,15, 29, 30, 31-32, 35-36, 37, 38, are rejected under 35 U.S.C 102(b) as being anticipated by Okubo et al. (JP357061389A, hereinafter Okubo).

Regarding claim 1, Okubo discloses a video conference system, comprising; a first conference room and a second conference room, the conference rooms are electronically coupled to permit transmission of images from each room to the other room for viewing, each conference room having: a large format display system (4, figs. 8-9) for projecting images, and a first camera (1, figs. 8-9) positioned with respect to large format display system to capture an image of the conference room and a participant in the room, without substantially obscuring the participant's view of the large format display system, so as to provide perception that the participant in the room is looking directly at a participant in the other conference room (see abstract).

Regarding claim 29, Okubo discloses a method of video conferencing between a first conference and a second conference room, comprising: receiving in the first conference room an image of a second conference room, projecting the image onto to a first large format display system in the first conference room at or near life size, capturing an image of the first conference room and a participant in the first conference room, without substantially obscuring the participant's view of the first large format

in Control Hamber. 10/000,20

Art Unit: 2643

display system, so as to provide the perception that the participant in the first conference room is looking directly at a participant in the second conference room, and transmitting the captured image to the second conference room for viewing (figs. 8-9, see abstract).

Regarding claim 30, Okubo discloses a method of video conferencing between a first conference and a second conference room, comprising: receiving in the first conference room an image of a second conference room, projecting the image onto to a first large format display system in the first conference room at or near life size, capturing an image of the first conference room and a participant in the first conference room, the participant having a line of sight to a medial portion of the first large format display system, the line of sight being within the field of view of an camera (1, figs. 8-9) in the first conference room and camera substantially hidden from view of the participant, and transmitting the captured image to the second conference room for viewing (see abstract).

Regarding claim 31, Okubo discloses a method of video conferencing between a first conference and a second conference room, comprising: receiving in the first conference room an image of a second conference room, projecting the image onto to a first large format display system in the first conference room at or near life size, capturing an image of the first conference room and a participant in the first conference room that is substantially medial to the first large format display system and at or above eye level of a participant, the camera substantially hidden from the view of the

Art Unit: 2643

participant in the conference room, and transmitting the captured image to the second conference room for viewing (figs. 8-9, see abstract).

Regarding claim 35, Okubo discloses a video conferencing system for coupling a first conference room and a second conference room, comprising: means for receiving in the first conference room an image of the second conference room, means (8, figs. 8-9) for projecting the image onto a first large format display system in the first conference room at or near life size, means (1, figs. 8-9) for capturing an image of the first conference room and a participant in the first conference room, without substantially obscuring the participant's view of large format display system, so as to provide the perception that the participant in the first conference room is looking directly at a participant in the second conference room, and means for transmitting the captured image to the second conference room for viewing (see abstract).

Regarding claim 37, Okubo discloses a video conferencing system for coupling a first conference room and a second conference room, comprising: means for receiving in the first conference room an image of the second conference room, means (8, figs. 8-9) for projecting the image onto a first large format display system in the first conference room at or near life size, means (1, figs. 8-9) for capturing an image of the first conference room and a participant in the first conference room, the participant having a line of sight to a medial portion of the first large format display system, the line of sight being within a field of view of an camera (1, figs. 8-9) in the first conference room and the camera substantially hidden from view of the participant, and means for transmitting the captured image to the second conference room for viewing (see abstract).

Art Unit: 2643

Regarding claim 38, Okubo discloses a video conferencing system for coupling a first conference room and a second conference room, comprising: means for receiving in the first conference room an image of the second conference room, means (8, figs. 8-9) for projecting the image onto a first large format display system in the first conference room at or near life size, means (1, figs. 8-9) for capturing an image of the first conference room using an camera (1) located at a position within the conference room that is substantially medial to the first large format display system and at or above eye level of the participant, the camera substantially hidden from the view of the participant in the conference room, and means for transmitting the captured image to the second conference room for viewing (figs. 8-9, see abstract).

Regarding claims 2-7, 15, Okubo further teaches the following: camera is substantially hidden from the view of the participant in the conference room (figs. 8-9), camera is located substantially medial to the large format display system and at or eye level of a participant in the conference room, camera is substantially hidden from the view of the participant in the conference room (figs. 8-9), the large format display system has an aperture (5, figs. 8-9), the camera (1, figs. 8-9) located behind the aperture, aperture (5, figs. 8-9) is located at a height at or above eye level of the participants and at a horizontal position at or near horizontal middle of the large format display system, aperture (5, figs. 8-9) is located so as to coincide with visually insignificant area of the image of the other conference room as displayed on the large format display system visually insignificant area corresponds to an image of an unobtrusive object located in the other conference room, large format display system

displays an approximately life-size image of the other conference room (figs. 8-9, see abstract).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all 3. obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 8-9, 17-19, 33, 39-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Okuba in view of Allen et al. (US PAT: 5,751,337, hereinafter Allen).

Regarding claims 8-9, Okuba does not teach the following: each conference room is electronically coupled to transmit sound and to receive sound from the other conference room, an audio capture system for capturing audio from the conference room, the audio capture system having a microphone, and an audio amplification system for projecting audio in the conference room, the audio amplification system having a speaker.

However, Allen teaches the following: each conference room is electronically coupled to transmit sound and to receive sound from the other conference room, an audio capture system for capturing audio from the conference room, the audio capture system having a microphone, and an audio amplification system for projecting audio in the conference room, the audio amplification system having a speaker (fig. 1, col. 8 lines 54-66, col. 10 lines 49-56).

Art Unit: 2643

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to modify Okuba's system to provide for the following: each conference room is electronically coupled to transmit sound and to receive sound from the other conference room, an audio capture system for capturing audio from the conference room, the audio capture system having a microphone, and an audio amplification system for projecting audio in the conference room, the audio amplification system having a speaker as this arrangement would facilitate video conferencing accompanied by audio, thus providing realistic conference atmosphere.

Regarding claims 17-19, Okuba does not teach the following; the first and second conference rooms contain similar: furnishings, the furnishings arranged and located within the room in a manner to provide the perception of participants sharing a same physical space, wall color and surfaces to provide the perception of participants sharing a same physical space, the first and conference rooms are lighted to provide the perception of participants sharing a same physical space and optimize the clarity of an image captured.

However, Allen teaches the following: the first and second conference rooms contain similar: furnishings, the furnishings arranged and located within the room in a manner to provide the perception of participants sharing a same physical space, wall color and surfaces to provide the perception of participants sharing a same physical space (fig. 1, col. 5, line 63 – col. 6, line 59), the first and conference rooms are lighted to provide the perception of participants sharing a same physical space and optimize the clarity of an image captured (col. 5 lines 7-9, col. 6 lines 10-12).

Art Unit: 2643

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to modify Okuba's system to provide for the following: the first and second conference rooms contain similar: furnishings, the furnishings arranged and located within the room in a manner to provide the perception of participants sharing a same physical space, wall color and surfaces to provide the perception of participants sharing a same physical space, the first and conference rooms are lighted to provide the perception of participants sharing a same physical space and optimize the clarity of an image captured as this arrangement would contribute towards providing predetermined sensory setting at conference centers as taught by Allen, thus enhancing the conferencing experience for the participants.

Regarding claim 39, Okuba discloses a method of manufacturing a video conference system between two rooms, the method comprising: placing a large format display system (4, figs. 8-9) in each room, placing a first camera (1, figs. 8-9) in each room in a position within the room that is substantially medial to the large format display system and at or about eye level of a participant in the room, the camera (1, figs. 8-9) substantially hidden from the view of participant in the room, and electronically coupling the two rooms together to bidirectionally communicate video information (figs. 8-9).

Okuba differs from claims 33, 39 in that he does not explicitly show the following: receiving in the first conference room sound from the second conference room, projecting the received sound into the first conference room, capturing the sound in the first conference room, and transmitting the captured sound to the second conference

Art Unit: 2643

room for projection in the second conference room, audio information being exchanged between the conference rooms.

However, Allen discloses teleconferencing method and system for providing face-to-face non-animated teleconference environment which teaches the following: receiving in the first conference room sound from the second conference room, projecting the received sound into the first conference room, capturing the sound in the first conference room, and transmitting the captured sound to the second conference room for projection in the second conference room, audio information being exchanged between the conference rooms (fig. 1, col. 8 lines 54-66, col. 10 lines 49-56).

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to modify Okuba's system to provide for exchange of audio information between two conference rooms as this arrangement would provide for full fledged conference with audio and video exchange between the conference participants, thus making the conference realistic.

Regarding claim 40. Okuba does not teach the following: furnishing the rooms with substantially similar furnishings, the furnishings arranged in a substantially similar manner, including similar furniture, lights, wall color and wall surfaces.

However, Allen teaches the following: furnishing the rooms with substantially similar furnishings, the furnishings arranged in a substantially similar manner, including similar furniture, lights, wall color and wall surfaces (fig. 1, col. 5, line 63 - col. 6, line 59).

Art Unit: 2643

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to modify Okuba's system to provide for the following: furnishing the rooms with substantially similar furnishings, the furnishings arranged in a substantially similar manner, including similar furniture, lights, wall color and wall surfaces as this arrangement would contribute towards providing predetermined sensory setting at conference centers as taught by Allen, thus enhancing the conferencing experience for the participants.

5. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Okuba in view of Chen et al. (US PAT: 5,953,053, hereinafter Chen).

Okuba differs from claim 13 in that although he teaches the following: large format display system comprises a projection display device and a projection screen (4, figs. 8-9), the screen having a front and a back, the front of the screen facing the conference room, screen having the aperture for receiving the lens of the camera (fig. 1, see abstract); but he does not teach the following: the projection display device located behind the screen, facing the back of the screen.

However, Chen discloses teleconference system with visual display terminal which teaches the following: the projection display device located behind the screen, facing the back of the screen (fig. 1 col. 3 lines 1-45).

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to modify Okuba's system to provide for the following: the projection display device located behind the screen, facing the back of the screen as

Art Unit: 2643

this arrangement would provide means for alternative implementation of projection display as taught by Chen.

6. Claims 10-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Okuba in view of Allen as applied to claim 9 above, and further in view of Yoshida (JP 401049490A).

Regarding claims 10-11, the combination does not teach the following: the audio capture system comprises plurality of microphones distributed throughout the conference room, the audio amplification system comprises a plurality of speakers distributed around the conference room so the audio seems to emit from an image of a participant on the large format display system, wherein the audio capture system and the audio amplification system are capable of permitting simultaneous dialog between the participants in the first conference room and the second conference room wherein one speaker of the audio amplification system is located behind large format display system at a height at or near the height of an image of a participant on the large format display system.

However, Yoshida discloses television conference system which teaches the following: the audio capture system comprises plurality of microphones (25-1 ... 25-3, fig. 1) distributed throughout the conference room, the audio amplification system comprises a plurality of speakers (16-1 ... 16-3, fig. 1) distributed around the conference room so the audio seems to emit from an image of a participant on the large format display system, wherein the audio capture system and the audio amplification system are capable of permitting simultaneous dialog between the participants in the first

Control Namber: 10/000,20

Art Unit: 2643

conference room and the second conference room wherein one speaker (16, fig. 1) of the audio amplification system is located behind large format display system (reads on television receiver 12, fig. 1) at a height at or near the height of an image of a participant on the large format display system.

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to modify the combination to provide for the following: the audio capture system comprises plurality of microphones distributed throughout the conference room, the audio amplification system comprises a plurality of speakers distributed around the conference room so the audio seems to emit from an image of a participant on the large format display system, wherein the audio capture system and the audio amplification system are capable of permitting simultaneous dialog between the participants in the first conference room and the second conference room wherein one speaker of the audio amplification system is located behind large format display system at a height at or near the height of an image of a participant on the large format display system as this arrangement as this arrangement would facilitate providing ambience to the participants as taught by Yoshida, thus facilitating recognition of speaking person easily in a video conference.

7. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Okuba in view of Allen, Yoshida as applied to claim 10 above, and further in view of Harada (JP02001309377A).

Regarding claim 12, the combination does not teach the following: at least one microphone is located in or on a surface of a table located in the conference room.

Art Unit: 2643

However, Harada discloses conference record guarantee system which teaches the following: at least one microphone (3, fig. 1) is located in or on a surface of a table located in the conference room (see abstract).

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to modify the combination to provide for the following: at least one microphone is located in or on a surface of a table located in the conference room as this arrangement would provide one way of arranging microphones, among many possible ways, in a conference system to facilitate conferencing as taught by Harada.

8. Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Okuba in view of Allen as applied to claim 19 above, and further in view of Loughrey (US PAT: 6,561,678).

Regarding claim 20, the combination does not teach the following: plurality of overhead indirect lighting fixtures, a plurality of side indirect lighting fixtures, conference room table, and an light source attached underneath the conference table.

However, Loughrey discloses variable focus indirect lighting fixture which discloses the following: arranging lighting needs for a conference room depending upon the conference activity (col. 2 lines 17-28).

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to modify the combination to provide for the following: plurality of overhead indirect lighting fixtures, a plurality of side indirect lighting fixtures, conference room table, and an light source attached underneath the conference table as this arrangement would provide one way of providing lighting, among many possible ways.

Art Unit: 2643

to the conference room to meet different lighting needs of the conference depending upon conference activity as taught by Loughrey.

9. Claims 16, 21-23 and 34are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Okuba in view of Westfield (US PAT: 6,677,979, filed 6-12-2001).

Regarding claims 16, 21-23, Okuba does not teach the following: conference room further comprises a second camera for capturing with high fidelity resolution an image of an item located at a pre-determined location within the conference room, the second camera is a high definition camera, the second camera is located where it can focus on the pre-determined location within the conference room, the pre-determined location being the place where the item requiring high fidelity resolution capture may be placed, capturing a high fidelity resolution image of an item at a predetermined location within the second conference room, transmitting the captured high fidelity résolution to the first conference room, receiving in the first conference room the captured high fidelity resolution image and projecting in the first conference room the captured high fidelity resolution image for viewing.

However, Westfield discloses method and apparatus for dual image video teleconferencing which teaches the following: conference room further comprises a second camera (420, fig. 4) for capturing with high fidelity resolution an image of an item located at a pre-determined location within the conference room, the second camera is a high definition camera, the second camera is located where it can focus on the pre-determined location within the conference room, the pre-determined location being the place where the item requiring high fidelity resolution capture may be placed,

Art Unit: 2643

capturing a high fidelity resolution image of an item at a predetermined location within the second conference room, transmitting the captured high fidelity resolution to the first conference room, receiving in the first conference room the captured high fidelity resolution image and projecting in the first conference room the captured high fidelity resolution image for viewing (col. 4 lines 21-51).

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to modify Okuba's system to provide for the following: conference room further comprises a second camera for capturing with high fidelity resolution an image of an item located at a pre-determined location within the conference room, the second camera is a high definition camera, the second camera is located where it can focus on the pre-determined location within the conference room, the pre-determined location being the place where the item requiring high fidelity resolution capture may be placed, capturing a high fidelity resolution image of an item at a predetermined location within the second conference room, transmitting the captured high fidelity resolution to the first conference room, receiving in the first conference room the captured high fidelity resolution image and projecting in the first conference room the captured high fidelity resolution image for viewing as this arrangement would provide choice for the user to select camera to obtain high resolution images of areas of interest in a conference as taught by Westfield (see abstract).

10. Claims 24-25, 28, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Okuba in view of Wakabayshi et al. (JP409205626A, hereinafter Wakabayshi).

Application/Control Number: 10/633,266 Page 16

Art Unit: 2643

Regarding claims 24-25, 28, Okuba does not teach the following: a half mirror beam splitter oriented at an angle with respect to the large format display system and positioned near the horizontal middle of the large format display system and at or about eye level of the participant in the conference room and the participant and project the image into the lens of the camera, a half mirror beam splitter oriented with respect to the large format display system and positioned such that line of sight of a participant in one room to an image on the large format display system of a participant in another room passes through the mirror beam splitter to provide perception of the participant looking directly at the participant in the other conference room, half mirror beam splitter is located at a height at or near the eye level of the participant in the conference room.

However, Wakabayshi discloses face to face image pickup display system and video camera therefor which teaches the following: a half mirror beam splitter (9, fig. 1) oriented at an angle with respect to the large format display system and positioned near the horizontal middle of the large format display system (1, fig. 1) and at or about eye level of the participant in the conference room and the participant and project the image into the lens of the camera, a half mirror beam splitter oriented with respect to the large format display system and positioned such that line of sight of a participant in one room to an image on the large format display system of a participant in another room passes through the mirror beam splitter to provide perception of the participant looking directly at the participant in the other conference room, half mirror beam splitter is located at a height at or near the eye level of the participant in the conference room (fig. 1, see abstract).

Application/Control Number: 10/633,266 Page 17

Art Unit: 2643

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to modify Okuba's system to provide for the following: a half mirror beam splitter oriented at an angle with respect to the large format display system and positioned near the horizontal middle of the large format display system and at or about eye level of the participant in the conference room and the participant and project the image into the lens of the camera, a half mirror beam splitter oriented with respect to the large format display system and positioned such that line of sight of a participant in one room to an image on the large format display system of a participant in another room passes through the mirror beam splitter to provide perception of the participant looking directly at the participant in the other conference room, half mirror beam splitter is located at a height at or near the eye level of the participant in the conference room as this arrangement would provide an alternative means to accomplish line of sight of video conferencing as taught by Wakabayshi, thus contributing towards more realsistic video conferencing.

11. Claim 26 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Okuba in view of Leenders et al. (JP0200003139A, hereinafter Leenders) and Hirasawa (JP02000333067A).

Okuba differs from claim 26 in that although he teaches an aperture (5, fig. 1) located horizontally medial in the large format display system, where the camera is behind the aperture (see abstract); he does not teach the following: the aperture having an anti-reflective, transparent cover.

Art Unit: 2643

However, Leenders discloses antireflective material used for lessening the reflection of an information display (fig. 1, see abstract); and Hirasawa discloses transparent cover (4, fig. 1) for camera (fig. 1, see abstract).

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to modify Okuba's system to provide for the following: the apertrure having an anti-reflective, transparent cover as this arrangement would protect the lens from dust and also reduce reflections from the cover so that user is not subject to reflections which might disturb his posture, thus contributing obtaining good picture of the conferee facing the display.

12. Claim 27 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Okuba in view of Wakabayshi as applied to claim 24 above, and further in view of Toritsuka (JP408214271A).

Regarding claim 27, the combination does not teach the following: half mirror beam splitter is at least partially transparent, providing the participants in the conference room a substantially unobstructed view of the display system.

However, Toritsuka discloses video conference system which teaches the following: half mirror beam splitter (8, fig. 1) is at least partially transparent, providing the participants in the conference room a substantially unobstructed view of the display system (1, fig. 1, see abstract).

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to modify the combination to provide for the following: half mirror beam splitter is at least partially transparent, providing the participants in the conference

Art Unit: 2643

room a substantially unobstructed view of the display system as this arrangement would provide line of sight video conferencing with clear view of display as taught by Toritsuka.

Claims 14, 41-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable 13. over Okuba in view of Kamakura (JP401032290A) and Allen.

Regarding claim 41, Okuba discloses a method of manufacturing a video conference system between two rooms, the method comprising: placing a large format display system (4, figs. 8-9) in each room the format display system having a projection screen (4, figs. 8-9), placing a first camera (1, figs. 8-9) in a position within each room so that participant in the room has a line of sight to a medial position of large format display system, the line of sight being within the field of view of the first camera in the room, the camera is substantially hidden from the view of the participant in the room, and electronically coupling the two rooms together to bidirectionally communicate video information (figs. 8-9, see abstract).

Okuba differs from claim 14 and 41 in that he does not teach the following: projection screen is substantially the front wall of the room, projection screen disposed along or into a wall of the room; and audio information being exchanged between the conference rooms.

However, Kamakura discloses projection type display device which teaches the following: projection screen is substantially the front wall of the room, projection screen disposed along or into a wall of the room (figs. 1, see abstract); and Allen teaches the following: audio information being exchanged between the conference rooms (fig. 1, col. 8 lines 54-66).

Art Unit: 2643

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to modify Okuba's system to provide for the following: projection screen is substantially the front wall of the room, projection screen disposed along or into a wall of the room as this arrangement would provide display device which is unobtrusive, which provides better setting for conferencing; and audio information being exchanged between the conference rooms as this arrangement would provide for full fledged conference with audio and video exchange between the conference participants, thus making the conference realistic.

Regarding claim 42, Okuba does not teach the following: furnishing the rooms with substantially similar furnishings, the furnishings arranged in a substantially similar manner, including similar furniture, lights, wall color and wall surfaces.

However, Allen teaches the following: furnishing the rooms with substantially similar furnishings, the furnishings arranged in a substantially similar manner, including similar furniture, lights, wall color and wall surfaces (fig. 1, col. 5, line 63 – col. 6, line 59).

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to modify Okuba's system to provide for the following: furnishing the rooms with substantially similar furnishings, the furnishings arranged in a substantially similar manner, including similar furniture, lights, wall color and wall surfaces as this arrangement would contribute towards providing predetermined sensory setting at conference centers as taught by Allen, thus enhancing the conferencing experience for the participants.

Application/Control Number: 10/633,266 Page 21

Art Unit: 2643

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Melur Ramakrishnaiah whose telephone number is (703) 305-1461. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 6:30-4:00; every other F Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Curtis Kuntz can be reached on (703)305-4708. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Melur Ramakrishnaiah Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2643